

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/892,647	06/28/2001	Joo Soo Lim	8733.442.00	8733.442.00 2011	
30827 7	590 07/21/2006	EXAMINER			
MCKENNA LONG & ALDRIDGE LLP 1900 K STREET, NW			DHARIA, PR	DHARIA, PRABODH M	
	N, DC 20006		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			2629		
			DATE MAILED: 07/21/2006	DATE MAILED: 07/21/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/892,647	LIM, JOO SOO		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Prabodh M. Dharia	2629		

	Prabodh M. Dharia	2629	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the d	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 26 June 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP			
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, affice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in the with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply missing the control of the contro	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
<ul> <li>a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing</li> <li>b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is</li> </ul>	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth	in the final rejection, wh	ichever is later. In
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I  (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause
<ul> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or</li> <li>(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a decirior</li> </ul>	ter form for appeal by materially re		the issues for
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	sorresponding number of imany rej	cotoq olalinis.	
<ul> <li>4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12</li> <li>5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> <li>6.  Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all</li> </ul>		·	
non-allowable claim(s).	owabie ii submitted in a separate,	unlely liled amendme	ant canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed: 8-11 and 15.  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected: 1-7 and 12-14.  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		I be entered and an e	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	_		
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	d sufficient reasons why the affiday	it or other evidence is	necessary and
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under apper and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a 1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but see attachment.	•		nce because:
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>	PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)	

Application/Control Number: 09/892,647

Art Unit: 2629

1. Status: Please all the replies and correspondence should be addressed to examiner's new

Page 2

art unit 2629. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted on 06-26-2006 under request for

reconsideration, which have been placed of record in the file. Claims 1-15 are pending in this

action.

Response to Amended Claims after final

2. Applicant's request for reconsideration with arguments to claims 1-7 and 12-14, received

on 06-26-2006 are entered however, it does not place the application in condition for allowance

as they do require further consideration and search, since they were not presented before final

office action.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 06-26-2006 on the basis of rejected claims have been

fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues cited reference of Kudoh does teach sequential reverse order scanning,

however the Kudoh's technical purpose and structure are different from those of instant

application.

Examiner argues back as, matrix type typical display structure with reverse order

scanning, producing better brightness are well known in the art; as per Kudoh's teaching (pages

Art Unit: 2629

5 and 6, paragraph 47). However, the rejected independent claims 1 and 12 fail to recite (technical purpose and structure) allowable limitation "applying a mode setting signal to a gate driver connected with the gate lines; sequentially scanning the gate lines in a direction identified by the mode setting signal (reverse order) to display a test pattern on the display panel at an increased brightness; and identifying any defective pixel cells among the plurality of liquid crystal pixel cells from the test pattern" recited in the final office actions mailed on 03-24-2006.

Page 3

## Conclusion

- 4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Prabodh M. Dharia whose telephone number is 571-272-7668. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8AM to 5PM.
- 5. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.
- 6. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system.

Application/Control Number: 09/892,647

Art Unit: 2629

Page 4

Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

PD

AU2629

07-17-2006

BIPIN SHALWALA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600